EarthBeat



People look for ways to cool off at Willow's Beach during record-breaking temperatures in Victoria, British Columbia, June 28, 2021. (CNS photo/Chad Hipolito, Reuters)



by Brian Roewe

NCR environment correspondent

View Author Profile

broewe@ncronline.org Follow on Twitter at <u>@brianroewe</u>

Join the Conversation

Send your thoughts to Letters to the Editor. Learn more

October 22, 2021 Share on BlueskyShare on FacebookShare on TwitterEmail to a friendPrint

Editor's Note: EarthBeat Weekly is your weekly newsletter about faith and climate change. Below is the Oct. 22 edition. To receive EarthBeat Weekly in your inbox, <u>sign up here</u>.

When world leaders assemble in November for the high-level, highly anticipated COP26 climate summit, they'll be facing an uphill climb. And not just because of their meeting locale's proximity to the Scottish highlands.

Global public opinion is skeptical that when leaders gather in Glasgow they'll do anything to seriously address the major challenge of climate change.

A briefing this week <u>led by the Pew Research Center</u> compiled findings from a number of surveys conducted in the past year on the issue of climate change. One polled the views of roughly 1,000 people each <u>in 17 countries with advanced</u> <u>economies</u>, representing North America, Europe and the Asia-Pacific region.

Of that group, majorities in 12 countries said they were not confident that actions by the international community would significantly reduce the effects of global climate change. Only four of the countries — Germany, the Netherlands, South Korea and Singapore — had majorities expressing confidence.

While the survey revealed positive marks from citizens toward their own country's response to climate change in most of the European nations and Canada, a majority of Americans ranked the response by the historical leading source of emissions as bad. And other people elsewhere agreed, with just 36% of all respondents saying the U.S. response to climate change has been good. Half of them said the same of China, the present-day emissions leader, with 78% saying it's doing a bad job. Fifty-six percent, on the other hand, praised the U.N.'s own efforts.

These views are likely a reflection of the uneven results that have emerged from past U.N. climate conferences. While COP21 in December 2015 proved a

breakthrough moment with the adoption of the Paris Agreement, countries have languished in producing actions equivalent to that pledge in the time since. All the while the science has become starker not only of what needs to happen to meet the Paris goals — notably in the short term, cutting greenhouse gas emissions roughly in half by 2030 — but also what the world will look like if international efforts fail to act swiftly and seriously.

That need for far more urgent and full-scale actions is a message that the faith community will be bringing to Scotland. For Friday's main story, I spoke with several officials with Catholic development agencies and religious NGOs <u>about their</u> <u>priorities and expectations</u> for the critical negotiations at COP26.

A major objective for them will be holding countries' pledges to the more ambitious Paris accord goal of limiting average temperature rise to 1.5 degrees Celsius— a level that scientists say surpassing will put millions of people at risk of extreme weather, poverty and numerous health issues.

I'll be on the ground in Glasgow for the first week of COP26, which will include a world leaders summit where some over the summer anticipated Pope Francis would address heads of state face to face. Instead, the Vatican delegation will be led by Cardinal Pietro Parolin, though the pope is still expected to issue a statement, whether by text or video. And Catholics present also intend to ensure Francis' messages on the need for global urgency and solidarity to truly address what he's called a "climate emergency" will still ring throughout the negotiation halls.

The Pew briefing also looked at the <u>pulse of the American public</u> on climate change, at a time when the major pillar of the U.S. climate pledge under the Paris Agreement <u>is in peril</u> as negotiations in Congress continue over the final parameters of the allencompassing reconciliation bill, once pegged at \$3.5 trillion but now expected to be <u>closer to \$2 trillion</u>.

While 65% of U.S. adults said climate change was a moderate or very big problem, it continues to rank low when asked whether it should be a top priority. The coronavirus pandemic has certainly factored into the American hierarchy of issues in the past year, but it's also clear from the data that climate change remains one of the nation's most polarizing topics.

Another survey, conducted in April, found that while nearly 3-in-4 Americans (and nearly half of Republicans) said it was more important to develop alternative energy

than expand fossil fuels, two-thirds of U.S. adults favored an energy mix that includes oil, coal and natural gas alongside renewable sources, rather than rely entirely on renewables.

That question too yielded the typical partisan divides, as did several specific climate solutions, but it also showed an age gap — 52% of people ages 18-29 and 62% of those age 30-49 were ready to phase out fossil fuels completely. This comes as majorities of adults from every U.S. region perceive extreme weather events happening more often than in the past. (There's a partisan divide there, too.)

That last finding may offer a sign of hope, as climate scientists and activists believe that experiencing the effects of global warming personally will lead to stronger calls for immediate actions. But the question facing both the U.S. and COP26, as Alistair Dutton of Scotland's Caritas agency told me, is "Can we make those changes quickly enough?"

Advertisement

Here's what else is new on EarthBeat this week:

- In New York's Hudson River Valley, protecting ecological integrity has been <u>a</u> mission for religious congregations of the area since the founding in 1996 of Religious Organizations Along the River, or ROAR, as Global Sisters Report's Chris Herlinger reports in the latest article in our "<u>An Estate Plan for the Earth</u>" series.
- Faith leaders of West Virginia penned a commentary <u>calling on their state's</u> <u>Sen. Joe Manchin to listen to Pope Francis</u> and support the bold climate investments included within the infrastructure and Build Back Better bills "for the good of all West Virginians."
- A major study reviewed more than 12,000 columns written by U.S. bishops in the time period just before and since the release of Francis' encyclical "Laudato Si', on Care for Our Common Home," and found just 93 mentioned climate change or global warming. The authors, researchers from Creighton University, explain their findings.
- Members of Apache Stronghold have <u>led a spiritual convoy</u> from Arizona, where their sacred Indigenous land at Oak Flat is at risk of destruction from a copper mine, to San Francisco where a court on Friday was expected to hear their

appeal to protect their land, reports Alejandra Molina of Religion News Service.

- The eighth convening of the Parliament of the World's Religions <u>devoted much</u> of their discussion to climate change, writes Nidhi Upadhyaya of Religion News Service, with noted conservationist Jane Goodall offering remarks.
- Jon Magnuson <u>reviews Josh Berson's new book</u>, *The Human Scaffold: How Not to Design Your Way Out of a Climate Crisis*, in which he says the author makes one message clear: Technology will not save us, and people will have to adapt to wiser, and lighter, ways of living on the planet.

Here's some of what's new in other climate news:

This week has seen a number of climate reports drop. We run through them. [Warning: The findings are rather bleak.]

- Sweeping assessments from the White House, Pentagon and intelligence agencies concluded that <u>climate change poses a major threat to global security</u> and risks instability in places like North Korea and Pakistan, report Shane Harris and Michael Birnbaum for The Washington Post.
- Climate change is worsening human health in just about every imaginable way, according to a report from the medical journal The Lancet. As NPR's Nathan Rott writes, communities already vulnerable to medical issues are most at risk, and how the world recovers from one public health crisis the COVID-19 pandemic may well determine how prepared, or not, it is to address a climate-driven one.
- And yet another report, this from federal financial regulators, described the <u>"emerging threat" climate change represents to the U.S. financial system</u> as the costs of extreme weather events pile up and potential uncertainty in the stock market resulting from a shift away from fossil fuels. Alan Rappeport and Christopher Flavelle have the story for The New York Times.
- Scientists say that greenhouse gas emissions need to be cut by 45% by 2030 in order to keep alive the Paris Agreement target of 1.5 degrees Celsius temperature rise. But a new U.N. Environment Programme report shows that 15 of the world's major fossil fuel-generating countries, including the U.S., U.K., Canada and China, are <u>on track to produce approximately 110% more coal, oil and gas</u> than would be allowable to meet that goal. Rachel Ramirez of CNN breaks down the findings.

- The glaciers of Africa's Mount Kilamanjaro, Mount Kenya and Rwenzori Mountains <u>could disappear entirely in the next two decades</u>, per a World Meteorological Organization report that highlights how a continent responsible for less than 4% of global greenhouse gas emissions is warming rapidly, Cara Anna writes for The Associated Press.
- Finally, some potentially good environmental health news: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency <u>unveiled new plans to regulate "forever</u> <u>chemicals" known as PFAS</u> that contaminate drinking water and pose numerous health issues. But, some environmental advocates say the plans don't go far enough, writes Joseph Winters for Grist.

Upcoming events:

Ecumenical Patriarch Bartholomew, known as "the Green Patriarch," will be at the University of Notre Dame on Oct. 28 to receive an honorary degree and speak on the topic of environmental stewardship.

You can find more information about these and other coming activities on the **EarthBeat Events page**.

Final Beat:

As mentioned above, I will be in Glasgow for the first week of COP26. What are you interested in knowing about the proceedings? What questions do you have? What type of coverage do you want to read?

Drop me a line at broewe@ncronline.org and let us know.

Barbara will return to the newsletter reins next week. But like she always says, please forward this email, or pass along the <u>link to EarthBeat Weekly</u> on our website, to a friend who might appreciate EarthBeat.

And of course, thanks to you for reading.

This story appears in the **EarthBeat Weekly** feature series. <u>View the full series</u>.